

The true economic impact of reusable digital scopes

How much does a typical hospital really spend to acquire, repair, sterilize, reprocess, prepare and manage ureteroscopes?

These numbers speak volumes about the true total cost of ownership.

Potential business costs per reprocessing incident:

\$1.79 to \$20.4 million¹¹

Purchase price for a digital flexible scope can exceed:

\$20,000^{9,10}

Repair cost per digital flexible scope after on average fewer than 12 uses can exceed:

\$6,000^{7,8}

Cost per procedural delay due to reprocessing:

\$830³⁻⁶

Cost savings using a digital flexible versus a fiber-optic scope:

\$780¹⁻⁴



Reprocessing labor time:

30-60 minutes¹²

1. Binbay M, Yuruk E, Akman T, et al. Is there a difference in outcomes between digital and fiberoptic flexible ureteroscopy procedures? *J Endourol*. 2010;24(12):1929-34.
2. Somani BK, Al-Qahtani SM, de Medina SD, et al. Outcomes of flexible ureteroscopy and laser fragmentation for renal stones: Comparison between digital and conventional ureteroscope. *Urology*. 2013;82(5):1017-9.
3. Macario A. Editorial. What does one minute of O.R. time cost? *J Clin Anesth*. 2010;22(4):233-6.
4. United States Bureau of Labor Statistics. Consumer Price Index. Medical Care Services. June 30, 2015.
5. Ofstead CL, Wetzler HP, Snyder AK, et al. Endoscope reprocessing methods. A prospective study on the impact of human factors and automation. *Gastroenterol Nurs*. 2010;33(4):304-11.
6. Hession SM. Endoscope disinfection by orthophthalaldehyde in a clinical setting: An evaluation of reprocessing time and costs compared with glutaraldehyde. *Gastroenterol Nurs*. 2003;26(3):110-4.
7. Shah K, Monga M, Knudsen B. Prospective randomized trial comparing 2 flexible digital ureteroscopes: ACMI/Olympus Invisio DUR-D and Olympus URF-V. *Urology*. 2015;85(6):1267-71.
8. Knudsen BE, Ferraro M. Digital video flexible ureteroscopy: GyrusACMI/Olympus Invisio®DUR®-D twelve month failure and repair experience. NCS 2009.
9. Sung C, Singh H, Schwartz M, et al. Evaluation of efficacy of novel optically activated digital endoscope protection system against laser energy damage. *Urology*. 2008;72(1):57-60.
10. Olympus Corporation of the Americas. Olympus Product Catalog. Olympus, Your Vision, Our Future. 2015. Web. Accessed May 12, 2015.
11. Strategic Health Resources. National SGNA Congress Presentation. 2012.
12. Muggeo E, Boissel A, Martin L, et al. Cost comparison of two reprocessing procedures of flexible ureteroscopes at the University Hospital of Dijon [CHU de Dijon]. *Prog Urol*. 2015;25(6):318-24.
13. Ofstead CL, et al. Factors that contribute to nonadherence with endoscope reprocessing guidelines: A prospective study overview of findings from the CLEANR study. CLEANR Endoscope Nonadherence Study, 2010. Published by Ethicon, 2010.

**Boston
Scientific**
Advancing science for life™

Boston Scientific Corporation
300 Boston Scientific Way
Marlborough, MA 01752
www.bostonscientific.com

© 2015 by Boston Scientific Corporation
or its affiliates. All rights reserved.

URO-340303-AB NOV 2015