SpaceOAR™ Hydrogel is Associated with Lower Rectal Toxicity and Higher Bowel Quality of Life in Late Follow-up: Systematic Review & Meta-Analysis

The purpose of this value analysis brief is to highlight key findings from a recently published systematic literature review and meta-analysis that demonstrates the clinical benefits of SpaceOAR Hydrogel compared with no spacer.

**SUMMARY**

In a pooled analysis of 1,011 patients receiving radiotherapy from 7 clinical studies, SpaceOAR Hydrogel when compared to control demonstrates:

- **66% less v70 rectal irradiation**
- **70% reduction in the risk of rectal toxicity (grade ≥1) in late follow-up**
- **77% reduction in the risk of rectal toxicity (grade ≥2) in late follow-up**
- **Better patient bowel quality of life in late follow-up exceeding the threshold for a minimal clinically important difference (mean difference = 5.4).**

**BACKGROUND**

Radiotherapy is a well-established and highly effective curative treatment option for patients with prostate cancer. Due to its proximity to the prostate, the rectum is vulnerable to radiation induced treatment toxicity, which can in turn cause gastrointestinal complications. Symptoms of radiation toxicity often begin during radiation therapy, but sometimes do not appear until several years later.

The SpaceOAR Hydrogel System (Figure 1) is a biodegradable polyethylene glycol hydrogel intended to temporarily position the anterior rectal wall away from the prostate during radiotherapy in prostate cancer patients.

**LOWER RECTAL TOXICITY AND IMPROVED BOWEL QUALITY OF LIFE (QOL) WITH SPACEOAR HYDROGEL**

Clinical trials in the U.S. and Europe have demonstrated that SpaceOAR Hydrogel is safe and that the space created with hydrogel spacers significantly reduces the radiation delivered to the rectum. The randomized SpaceOAR Hydrogel U.S. Clinical Trial found that patients who received SpaceOAR Hydrogel reported significantly less rectal pain during radiotherapy and had significantly fewer severe long-term rectal complications.

**WHY IS THIS SYSTEMATIC REVIEW EVIDENCE IMPORTANT?**

Systematic review and meta-analyses are categorized as the highest quality or most robust type of evidence because they allow pooling of data from a large number of treated patients to minimize the effects of bias in studies. This type of evidence is important to clinicians, payers, providers, HTA organizations and other funding bodies to ensure SpaceOAR Hydrogel is safe and effective. This is the first systematic review with quantitative analysis of the existing SpaceOAR Hydrogel clinical trial data.

**METHODS**

The systematic review was conducted and reported according to the PRISMA guidelines. Searches were performed in Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials, Medline, and Embase up to September 2019 to identify comparative studies of men receiving radiotherapy for localized or locally advanced prostate cancer, with and without hydrogel spacing. Randomized control trials and cohort studies with >10 patients were included. Out of 475 articles identified, 73 full-text papers were reviewed with 7 studies included (Table 1) comprising 1,011 patients (486 SpaceOAR Hydrogel vs 525 no spacer) for analyses across different radiotherapy protocols.

**Table 1: Included primary studies in systematic literature review**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Primary Study, Year</th>
<th>No. patients</th>
<th>Follow-up (months)</th>
<th>RT protocol</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chao &amp; c, 2019</td>
<td>65/65</td>
<td>42/65</td>
<td>BT, IMRT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mantados, 2015</td>
<td>148/13</td>
<td>37/37*</td>
<td>IMRT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pinkawa, 2017</td>
<td>101/66</td>
<td>63/63</td>
<td>IMRT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taggare, 2018</td>
<td>79/136</td>
<td>&lt;2</td>
<td>BT + EBRT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Te Velde, 2019</td>
<td>65/55</td>
<td>&gt;24</td>
<td>IMRT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whalley, 2016</td>
<td>101/110</td>
<td>26/26</td>
<td>IMRT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Woit, 2015</td>
<td>30/19</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>IMRT</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*IMRT = intensity modulated radiation therapy; EBRT = external beam radiotherapy; BT = brachytherapy

* Data includes secondary study Hamstra et al.
OUTCOMES

Outcomes were based on procedural results, rectal irradiation, rectal toxicity, and bowel quality of life (QoL).

Procedural Outcomes

A well tolerated procedure, SpaceOAR® Hydrogel demonstrates a 97% placement success rate with mild procedural complications occurring in 0% to 10% of patients within studies.1

Rectal Irradiation Outcomes

Compared to controls, in men who received SpaceOAR Hydrogel prior to radiotherapy there was a 66% reduction of v70 rectal irradiation (Figure 2) (3.5% vs 10.4%, p=0.001) when compared to men without a hydrogel spacer. There is a strong correlation between rectal v70 and rectal toxicity.1

Rectal Toxicity Outcomes

SpaceOAR Hydrogel was associated with a reduction in rectal toxicity of any severity (grade ≥1) in the short and long-term (≥3 months, 20.5% vs 29.5%, p=0.005; median 40 months, 4.8% vs 16.2%, p=0.001)1 and a 77% lower risk of grade ≥2 severity at late follow-up (1.5% vs 5.7%, p=0.05)1 (Figure 3).

Bowel QoL Outcomes

Two studies3,5 reported bowel QoL, and demonstrated higher bowel QoL scores at late follow-up vs control (median 48 months) (Figure 4) that exceeded the threshold for a minimal clinical importance difference.

STRENGTHS & LIMITATIONS

Strengths of the review include following PRISMA guidelines, careful identification of studies with overlapping patients and sensitivity analysis to explore potential sources of heterogeneity. Limited number of studies, non-randomized study designs and short follow-up time, which may not accurately capture radiation toxicity manifestations limit this review.

CONCLUSIONS

Among men planning to receive radiotherapy for localized or locally advanced prostate cancer, injection of a SpaceOAR Hydrogel was safe, provided prostate-rectum separation sufficient to reduce v70 rectal irradiation, and was associated with lower rectal toxicity and higher bowel quality of life in late follow-up.

WHAT IS THE PROFESSIONAL CLINICAL GUIDANCE?

Professional clinical guidelines recommend the use of biodegradable spacer insertion to reduce rectal toxicity during radiotherapy for prostate cancer in adults.2,17