

# Utilizing SCS Designed to Engage Surround Inhibition Using Fast-Acting Sub-Perception Therapy (FAST): Prospective, Multicenter, Long-Term Outcomes

Magdalena Anitescu<sup>1</sup>, Eric Loudermilk<sup>2</sup>, Drew Trainor<sup>3</sup>, John Noles<sup>4</sup>, Jennifer Lee<sup>5</sup>, Sayed Wahezi<sup>6</sup>, Derron Wilson<sup>7</sup>, Lilly Chen<sup>8</sup>, Edward Goldberg<sup>8</sup>

1. University of Chicago Medical Center, Chicago, IL USA 2. Piedmont Comprehensive Pain Management Group, Greenville, SC USA 3. The Denver Spine and Pain Institute, Denver CO USA 4. Spine and Pain Specialists, Shreveport, LA USA 5. Acute and Chronic Pain Therapies, Bellevue, WA USA 6. Montefiore Multidisciplinary Pain Program, Bronx, NY USA 7. Goodman Campbell Brain and Spine, Carmel, IN USA 8. Boston Scientific Neuromodulation, Valencia, CA USA

BACKGROUND

Novel Fast-Acting Sub-Perception Therapy (FAST) has demonstrated robust and rapid (seconds to minutes) onset of analgesia in chronic pain patients implanted with Spinal Cord Stimulation (SCS) systems.<sup>1</sup> Initial published work has been supported by similar research at other centers.<sup>2</sup> Data derived from the long-term, real-world with use of FAST-SCS has now shown sustained improvement up to 3-year follow-up.<sup>3</sup>

Surround Inhibition is a well-established neural mechanism in the published literature. Recently published work suggests that FAST engages the surround inhibition mechanism of action (MOA) and computational modeling suggests that FAST activates dorsal column axons and inhibits dorsal horn projection neurons.<sup>4</sup> Precise stimulation location and optimal neural dose is required to generate Fast-Acting Sub-Perception Therapy.

We studied the effectiveness of FAST and additional SCS therapy options for chronic pain in a prospective, multicenter, single-arm clinical study and in this report describe long-term outcomes.

METHODS

Study Design	•Prospective, multicenter, single-arm study with an adaptive design
Study Device	•Boston Scientific WaveWriter SCS Systems
Primary Endpoint	•Proportion of subjects with ≥50% reduction from Baseline Visit in average targeted pain* intensity at 3-months post-activation *Targeted pain is area of pain intended to be treated by SCS

Study Schematic

ClinicalTrials.gov ID: NCT04618471

Informed Consent

Opioid Medication Lock Visit

Baseline Visit

Day 0: Activation Visit

Prog. Lock Visit (70 – 7 Days)  
3 mo. Visit (90 ± 14 Days)

6 mo. Visit (180 ± 30 Days)

9 mo. Visit (270 ± 30 Days)

Year 1, 2 Visit (± 30 Days)

Screening

Baseline Period

Implant Procedures (Trial + Perm)

Healing Period

Programming Period

Long term Follow up

RESULTS

Baseline Characteristics (n = 56)

Age (yrs.) - Mean (SD) n	59.8 (11.9) 56
Gender (Female) - % (n/N)	64.3% (36/56)
Diagnosis for receiving the stimulator (may have multiple diagnosis) - %	
Failed Back Surgery Syndrome	63%
Radiculopathy	73.2%
Average Overall Pain (VRS) - Mean (SD) n	7.39 (0.13) 56
Average Low Back Pain (VRS) - Mean (SD) n	7.41 (0.13) 56
Disability (Oswestry Disability Index [ODI] - Mean (SD) n)	51.68 (8.36) 56
Duration of low back pain (yrs.) - Mean (SD) n	13.9 (10.0) 56
Onset of Pain Relief Following FAST Activation (mean time – minutes)	
50% Pain Relief (48 of 56)	2.6 minutes
75% Pain Relief (43 of 56)	4.3 minutes
100% Pain Relief (30 of 56)	4.2 minutes

Mean Low Back Pain Score out to 24-months follow-up

Time Point	Mean VRS Low Back Score
Baseline (n = 56)	7.4
3-months (n = 54)	2.1
12-months (n = 39)	2.5
24-months (n = 20)	2.6

Responder Rates out to 24-months follow-up

Time Point	Proportion of patients with ≥50% pain relief
3-months (n = 55)	82% (45/55)
12-months (n = 40)	85% (34/40)
24-months (n = 21)	90.5% (19/21)

Long-Term Functional Disability Outcomes (ODI)

Time Point	Mean Oswestry Disability Index (ODI) Score
Baseline (n = 56)	51.7
3-months (n = 55)	25.6
12-months (n = 40)	27.3
24-months (n = 21)	23.3

Patient Satisfaction

(% patients indicating “very much” or “much” improvement)

Time Point	% patients indicating “very much” or “much” improvement
3-months (n = 55)	87%
12-months (n = 40)	85%
24-months (n = 21)	90%

Long-Term Clinical Outcomes Summary

(out to 24-months follow-up)

- Consistently high responder rates (≥82% at all study visits)
- 31-point mean improvement in ODI Scores (MCID ~Δ10 points)<sup>5</sup>
  - indicating significant improvement in disability
- Sustainable pain score reduction out to 24 months: Δ4.9-points
- High level of patient satisfaction observed (≥85%)
- Patients in FAST study survey patients reported that:
  - it was easy to control their SCS therapy (96%)
  - they rarely used their remote control to adjust their therapy (75%)

CONCLUSIONS

In this prospective study, engaging with the Surround Inhibition MOA via FAST-SCS out to 24-months follow-up provided for:

- rapid onset pain relief that was significant and sustainable in the long-term
  - Δ4.9-point reduction from baseline
  - 90.5% responder rate at 24-months
- clinically meaningful improvement in disability and satisfaction

Results of surveyed patients indicate that most FAST-SCS users:

- can easily control their SCS therapy
- rarely require adjustment of therapy

These results are consistent with published assessments of FAST-SCS as previously evaluated in the real-world clinical setting.<sup>1-3, 6</sup>

REFERENCES

- Metzger CS, et al. A novel fast-acting sub-perception spinal cord stimulation therapy enables rapid onset of analgesia in patients with chronic pain. *Expert Rev Med Devices*. 2021 Mar;18(3):299-306.
- Ferro R, Pei Y, Jain R. Significant Pain Relief Using an SCS System Delivering Novel, Fast-Acting Sub-Perception Therapy [Abstract; e-poster]. Annual Meeting of the North American Neuromodulation Society, 2023.
- Metzger C, Hammond MB, Ferro R, et al. Outcomes of a Large, Multicenter, Real-World Study using an SCS System Engaging Surround Inhibition [Abstract; Poster 80]. Annual Meeting of the North American Neuromodulation Society, 2023.
- Gilbert JE, Titus N, Zhang T, Esteller R, Grill WM. Surround Inhibition Mediates Pain Relief by Low Amplitude Spinal Cord Stimulation: Modeling and Measurement. *eNeuro*. 2022 Sep 22;ENEURO.0058-22.2022.
- Hägg O, Fritzell P, Nordwall A; Swedish Lumbar Spine Study Group. The clinical importance of changes in outcome scores after treatment for chronic low back pain. *Eur Spine J*. 2003 Feb;12(1):12-20.
- Bayerl S, et al. Two-Year Outcomes Using Fast-Acting, Sub-Perception Therapy for Spinal Cord Stimulation: A European, Real-World, Multicenter Experience. *J Clin Med*. 2024 Nov 20;13(22):6999.

DISCLOSURES

Study Sponsored by Boston Scientific.  
Dr. Anitescu has a consulting agreement with Boston Scientific.  
Lilly Chen and Edward Goldberg are employees of Boston Scientific.



# INDICATIONS FOR USE

US Indications for Use: The Boston Scientific Spinal Cord Stimulator Systems are indicated as an aid in the management of chronic intractable pain of the trunk and/or limbs including unilateral or bilateral pain associated with the following: failed back surgery syndrome, Complex Regional Pain Syndrome (CRPS) Types I and II, Diabetic Peripheral Neuropathy of the lower extremities, intractable low back pain and leg pain, radicular pain syndrome, radiculopathies resulting in pain secondary to failed back syndrome or herniated disc, epidural fibrosis, degenerative disc disease (herniated disc pain refractory to conservative and surgical interventions), arachnoiditis, multiple back surgeries. The Boston Scientific Spectra WaveWriter™, WaveWriter Alpha™ and WaveWriter Alpha™ Prime SCS Systems are also indicated as an aid in the management of chronic intractable unilateral or bilateral low back and leg pain without prior back surgery.

Contraindications, warnings, precautions, side effects. The SCS Systems are contraindicated for patients who: are unable to operate the SCS System, have failed trial stimulation by failing to receive effective pain relief, are poor surgical candidates, or are pregnant. Refer to the Instructions for Use provided with the SCS System or Pain.com for potential adverse effects, warnings, and precautions prior to using this product.

Warning: Stimulation modes. Only paresthesia-based stimulation mode has been evaluated for effectiveness in the diabetic peripheral neuropathy (DPN) population.

Caution: U.S. Federal law restricts this device to sale by or on the order of a physician.

OUS Indications for USE: CAUTION: The law restricts these devices to sale by or on the order of a physician. Indications, contraindications, warnings, and instructions for use can be found in the product labelling supplied with each device or at [www.IFU-BSCI.com](http://www.IFU-BSCI.com). Products shown for INFORMATION purposes only and may not be approved or for sale in certain countries. This material not intended for use in France.

Copyright © 2025 by Boston Scientific Corporation or its affiliates. All rights reserved.

NM-2114713-AA



## NANS2025 ANNUAL MEETING