



INTELLANAV STABLEPOINT™

Ablation Catheter

Local Impedance Drop Summary

Study	Absolute LI Drops (Optimal Value)	% LI Drops	Baseline Impedance	Contact Force (CF)	Power/Duration	FPI	Patients (n)
Michaud et al (2023).¹	21.2Ω (Mean Value)*	13.6%	155 ± 21Ω	13.5 ± 7g	11.5 ± 6s	83%	299
Lepillier, A., et al (2023).²	>21Ω Anterior wall >18Ω Posterior wall	>13.6% Anterior wall >12% Posterior wall	161 ± 19Ω	13.5 ± 7g Anterior 13.0 ± 7g Posterior	45-50W 9.2 ± 4s	93%	212
Fukaya, H., et al (2022).³	20.0Ω	11.6%	NA	13.1g (10-18)	35-40W 20s	75.3% LPVs 69.3% RPVs	102
Solimene, F., et al (2022).⁴	23.1Ω	NA	158 ± 17Ω	13 ± 8g	45-50W 8.7± 4s	89%	45
Ikenouchi, T., et al (2022).⁵	≥ 24Ω at Carina ≥ 21Ω at non-carinal sites	≥ 15% at Carina ≥ 14% at non-carinal sites	156Ω	12g (8-18)	30-45W 20-35s	NA	23
Alken, F., et al (2022).⁶	20.0Ω	11% (8-14)	146Ω (132-165)	11g (7-19)	30W Up to 120s	NA	20
Yasumoto, K., et al (2022).⁷	23.0Ω	NA	156.7 ± 18.5Ω	13.8 ± 6.6g	30W 30s	NA	15
Riesinger, L., et al (2021).⁸	17.8Ω	NA	148Ω	15.5g	Power NA 21.7s	NA	27
Szegedi, N., et al (2021).⁹	21.8Ω Anterior wall >18.3Ω Posterior Wall	13.9% (11.6-16.1)	164Ω (156-175)	11.4g (8.3-16.7)	40-50W 6-11s	NA	8
Solimene, F., et al (2022).¹⁰	19Ω (roof line) 18Ω (floor line)	NA	138Ω (roof line) 149Ω (floor line)	11-20g	50W 15s (floor line) 20s (roof line)	87%	30
Sasaki, T., et al (2022).¹¹	22Ω (17-29)	14.4% (11.5-18.2)	151Ω (142-164)	9.6g (7.3-13)	25-40W 20-40s	64%	50

CAUTION: Investigational device. Limited by US law to investigational use only. Not available for sale.

*Mean of all available point to point ablations. Receiver Operating Curve based optimal cut-off values not yet published.

Case Types:

PVI - Michaud, Lepillier, Fukaya, Solimene, Ikenouchi, Alken, Yasumoto, Riesinger and Szegedi

PersAF - Solimene

CTI - Sasaki

References

1. Michaud et al. Patient Outcomes 6 Months Following Pulmonary Vein Isolation for Atrial Fibrillation With A Novel RF Catheter: Initial Data From Newton AF. *Circ.* 2023; Presented at AHA 2023.
2. Lepillier, A., et al (2023). Insight into contact force local impedance technology for predicting effective pulmonary vein isolation. In *Frontiers in Cardiovascular Medicine* (Volume 10 - 2023). <https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fcvm.2023.1169037/full>
3. Fukaya, H., Mori, H., Oikawa, J., Kawano, D., Nakamura, H., Ishizue, N., Kishihara, J., Hojo, R., Tsutsui, K., Ikeda, Y., Kato, R., & Fukamizu, S. (2023). Optimal local impedance parameters for successful pulmonary vein isolation in patients with atrial fibrillation. *Journal of Cardiovascular Electrophysiology*, 34(1), 71–81. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jce.15748>
4. Solimene, F., et al (2022). When local impedance meets contact force: preliminary experience from the CHARISMA registry. In *Journal of Interventional Cardiac Electrophysiology* (Vol. 63, Issue 3, pp. 749–758). Springer. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10840-022-01163-7>
5. Ikenouchi, T., Takigawa, M., Goya, M., Martin, C. A., Takahashi, Y., Shimizu, Y., Amemiya, M., Kamata, T., Nishimura, T., Tao, S., Miyazaki, S., & Sasano, T. (2022). Requirement of larger local impedance reduction for successful lesion formation at carinal area during pulmonary vein isolation. *Journal of Interventional Cardiac Electrophysiology*, 65(2), 509–518. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10840-022-01282-1>
6. Alken, F., et al. (2022). Combined contact force and local impedance dynamics during repeat atrial fibrillation catheter ablations. *Front Physiol.* 2022;13:1001719. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fphys.2022.1001719>
7. Yasumoto, K., Egami, Y., Kawanami, S., Sugae, H., Ukita, K., Kawamura, A., Nakamura, H., Matsuhira, Y., Tsuda, M., Okamoto, N., Matsunaga-Lee, Y., Yano, M., Nishino, M., & Tanouchi, J. (2022). The correlation between local impedance drop and catheter contact in clinical pulmonary vein isolation use. *PACE - Pacing and Clinical Electrophysiology*, 45(8), 984–992. <https://doi.org/10.1111/pace.14500>
8. Riesinger, L., Siebermair, J., Kochhaeuser, S., Pesch, E., Popal, S. R., Rassaf, T., & Wakili, R. (n.d.). Arrhythmias and Device Therapy-Arrhythmias, General, Treatment, Catheter Ablation of Arrhythmias. https://academic.oup.com/eurheartj/article/42/Supplement_1/ehab724.0381/6392139
9. Szegedi, N., et al(2021). The role of local impedance drop in the acute lesion efficacy during pulmonary vein isolation performed with a new contact force sensing catheter-A pilot study. *PLoS ONE*, 16(9 September). <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0257050>
10. Solimene, F., et al (2022). Prospective evaluation of local impedance drop to guide left atrial posterior wall ablation with high power. *Journal of Interventional Cardiac Electrophysiology*, 65(3), 675–684. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10840-022-01317-7>
11. Sasaki, T., Nakamura, K., Minami, K., Take, Y., Nakatani, Y., Miki, Y., Goto, K., Kaseno, K., Yamashita, E., Koyama, K., & Naito, S. (2022). Local impedance measurements during contact force-guided cavotricuspid isthmus ablation for predicting an effective radiofrequency ablation. *Journal of Arrhythmia*, 38(2), 245–252. <https://doi.org/10.1002/joa3.12680>

**Boston
Scientific**
Advancing science for life™

Cardiology
300 Boston Scientific Way
Marlborough, MA 01752-1234
www.bostonscientific.com

Medical Professionals:
1.800.CARDIAC (227.3422)
Customer Service:
1.888.272.1001

© 2023 Boston Scientific Corporation
or its affiliates. All rights reserved.

EP-1727404-AA